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- All radiance (L1C) and cloud (L2) products are in the archive
- Revised calibration performed at GSFC in July 2021 applied to reprocessing.
- Waiting on flight hardware testing to get access for one last calibration and reprocessing.
The RSP scanner rotates continuously so any given scan consists of views of lots of different scenes both in front of, and behind, the aircraft. So, as the aircraft flies over a given point on the surface (or cloud) it will be viewed from multiple angles as shown in the figure below. These multiple views of the same scene are what is contained in the L1C files.

Radiance and linear polarization measurements over an angular range of ±55° from nadir every 0.8° are provided for each scene.

The Research Scanning Polarimeter (RSP) is a passive, downward-looking optical instrument intended to retrieve cloud, aerosol and surface reflectance properties. Observations are made in nine narrow spectral channels, whose band centers range from 410 to 2260 nm. The measurements provide the radiance and linear polarization of the observed scene within a ~105° along-track swath. Two nearly identical versions are available: RSP1 and RSP2. RSP can be mounted on a wide range of (NASA) aircraft.

Fig. 1. One of the two NASA GISS Research Scanning Polarimeters (RSP 1), fit for integration on to the NASA Langley B200 King Air aircraft.

The RSP scanner rotates continuously so any given scan consists of views of lots of different scenes both in front of, and behind, the aircraft. So, as the aircraft flies over a given point on the surface (or cloud) it will be viewed from multiple angles as shown in the figure below. These multiple views of the same scene are what is contained in the L1C files.

Radiance and linear polarization measurements over an angular range of ±55° from nadir every 0.8° are provided for each scene.

The Research Scanning Polarimeter (RSP) is a passive, downward-looking polarimeter, with nine spectral bands between 410 and 2260 nm that scans its field of view along the aircraft ground track.
A feature of the RSP data is that it contains high angular density observations for every scene. When a water cloud is present polarized observations of the cloud bow can be used to estimate the Droplet Size Distribution. The RSP also has spectral bands in regions where liquid and ice water absorb (1.59 and 2.26 µm) and allow for bispectral retrievals of droplet sizes similar to MODIS and VIIRS. The optical depth of the cloud is also estimated using a non/weakly absorbing band at 865 nm.

The remote sensing estimates of droplet size distributions have been validated against in situ observations with an agreement for effective radius ~ 0.5 µm and for effective variance of 0.02. Multimodal size distributions are available when viewing geometry is good.
• Less of a difference between summer and winter in 2021/2022 than 2020/2021.
• Both the differences in optical depth and the differences in droplet size are factors in the larger droplet number observed in winter compared to summer using remote sensing.
• Last two summer and winter seasons are very similar with lower optical depth “mode” in summer
• NB: in winter there is an outlier with an optical depth greater than 256 that contains ~5% of observations. This is likely the result of cloud shading/brightening when the sun is low in the sky. Such artifacts are almost never seen in summer (~0.1%).
• Next cloud data version will include LWP calculated as:
  \[ \text{LWP} = \frac{5}{9} \times \text{effective_radius} \times \text{cloud_{optical_depth}} \]
• Last two summer and winter seasons are very similar with lower optical depth “mode” in summer
• NB: in winter there is an outlier with an optical depth greater than 256 that contains ~ 5% of observations. This is likely the result of cloud shading/brightening when the sun is low in the sky. Such artifacts are almost never seen in summer (~ 0.1%).
• Next cloud data version will include LWP calculated as:
  \[ \text{LWP} = \frac{5}{9} \times \text{effective_radius} \times \text{cloud_optical_depth} \]
• We will be adding two versions of droplet number to the next release of the cloud data.
  • The “adiabatic” method popularized by JL Brenguier and co-workers.

\[
N_d = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2\pi k} \left( \frac{f_{ad} c_w \tau_c}{Q_{ext} \rho_w r_e^5} \right)^{1/2}.
\]

\[k = (r_v/r_e)^3 = (1 - v_e)(1 - 2v_e).\]

Retrieved by RSP

\[f_{ad} - \text{fraction of adiabaticity}\]
\[c_w - \text{condensation rate}\]
\[Q_{ext} - \text{extinction efficiency factor}\]
\[k - \text{ratio of volume mean radius to effective radius}\]
\[\tau_c - \text{cloud optical thickness}\]

• The direct method

Retrieved by HSRL2

\[N_d = \frac{\beta_e}{\sigma_e}\]

Retrieved by RSP

• \(N_d\) - droplet concentration
• \(\beta_e\) - in-cloud extinction (HSRL-2)
• \(\sigma_e\) - mean extinction cross-section (RSP)
• No obvious difference in cloud top heights between summer/winter 2021 and 2022. Maybe slightly more thin, low clouds around Bermuda.
Effective variance distributions are similar across seasons and years. Summer months do have more effective variances larger than 0.1 which are likely to be bimodal size distributions that are may form drizzle.

Peak in $v_{\text{eff}}$ at lower boundary of look up table and at $\approx 0.07$. This corresponds to a value for $k$ in the equation for droplet number concentration of 0.8, which is a commonly assumed value.
Aside from drizzle that is detected at cloud top, retrievals of droplet number concentration and size distribution allow us to directly evaluate the auto-conversion rate (the rate at which liquid water content of sizes larger than a threshold, in this case $20 \, \mu m$, is increasing) at cloud top:

$$A = \int_0^{x_0} \left[ \int_{x_0-x}^{x_0} K(x, x') x' n(x')dx' \right] n(x)dx$$

where $x$ and $x'$ are the masses of two coalescing droplets, $n(x)$ is the number of droplets in the mass range $x$ to $x + dx$, $K(x, x')$ is the collection kernel for coalescing droplets (Hall 1980, JAS) and $x_0$ is the mass of a $20 \, \mu m$ drop (Wood 2005, JAS; Beheng and Doms 1986). While auto-conversion is not important overall in drizzle rates it is important for the initial formation of drizzle near cloud top (e.g. Figs 9c) and 9d) from Wood 2005, JAS).
Comparing auto-conversion rates calculated using actual size distributions with those from the Liu-Daum bulk parameterization shows there can be large discrepancies once $v_{eff} < 0.07$. 
In the last two years of ACTIVATE ~80% of clouds still have $v_{eff} < 0.07$. If bulk parameterizations do not allow for how narrow cloud top size distributions this may lead to overestimates of auto-conversion.
• RSP also does a water vapor retrieval. The primary reason for doing this originally was to provide a corrections for water vapor continuum absorption in the SWIR bands.
• But since it works quite well it also serves as a good test for how to make such retrievals work well from satellite.
The RSP can detect edges of Cu clouds using tangent view-rays and constrain cloud shape, which depends on threshold in total reflectance.
Create **nested family** of cloud shapes for a range of reflectance thresholds. Assign the threshold value to all points in the curve.

Interpret these shapes as level curves of an abstract 2D “reflectance density” (RD), which is computed using interpolation.
Use RD for assigning values to virtual view lines parameterized by angle and offset.

The value of view line (chord) is the maximum of the RD along it.

This value is achieved where chord is tangent to a level curve of RD.

The values of all chords at the tomogram are converted to directional COT using a proxy.
The retrieved extinction field may be shifted toward the bright cloud side by \(~350\) m.
The retrieved extinction field may be shifted toward the bright cloud side by ~350 m.
Retrieval of aerosol water uptake

Aerosol water fraction $f_w$ can be retrieved from refractive index:

$$f_w = \frac{m_{r,\text{retrieved}} - m_{r,\text{dry}}}{m_{r,\text{water}} - m_{r,\text{dry}}}$$

$m_{r,\text{dry}} \approx 1.54$

$m_{r,\text{water}} = 1.33594$

Application to ACTIVATE and CAMP2Ex RSP data

- Water uptake has widest range during CAMP2Ex, narrowest range during ACTIVATE winter 2020
- RSP sees many values of $m_r \approx 1.54$, indicating near zero water fraction
- This is consistent with the many $f(\text{RH}) \approx 1$ measured in situ
Retrieval of dry fine mode effective radius

- Retrieved fine mode effective radius (dots) increases with water fraction as expected (red line).

Retrieved fine mode wet effective radius (left, blue) can be adjusted to infer dry effective radius (left, green).

Comparing reasonably well to in situ effective radius (right).

Retrieval of insoluble fraction

- Retrieved fine mode effective variance (dots) also increases with water fraction.
- A model assuming a fraction of aerosol is insoluble (with growth factor ~1) can explain this increase well.
- Using slope of wet variance vs wet effective radius for a day/region, the insoluble fraction can be estimated.
- High insoluble fraction may explain prevalence of f(RH) near or below 1.
• As described in Dadashazar et al. 2021, clouds have smaller drops and higher optical depths (larger droplet number concentrations) in winter than in summer.
• Cloud top droplet size distributions tend to be narrow with roughly 80% having an effective variance of less than 0.07. This is relevant to how well bulk auto-conversion parameterizations are likely to work at cloud top (order of magnitude effects) and also to assumptions used in the remote sensing of droplet number (~10% effect).
• Real refractive index and effective radius retrievals are consistent with hygroscopic growth of aerosols
• Real refractive index and effective variance retrievals imply the presence of an insoluble fraction of aerosols
• Future work on clouds will look at differences in cloud top drizzle formation and autoconversion rates between the different campaigns and at how well mixed phase clouds can be detected (if at all) from sensors such as VIIRS and PACE OCI.