Comparison of Remote Sensor and In-Situ Aerosol Properties

N524N

Sanja Dmitrovic, Joseph S. Schlosser, Ryan Bennett, Brian Cairns, Gao Chen, Brian L. Collister, Ewan Crosbie, Bastiaan van Diedenhoven, Richard A. Ferrare, Johnathan W. Hair, Chris A. Hostetler, Michael Jones, Richard H. Moore, Jeffrey S. Reid, Michael A. Shook, Armin Sorooshian, Kenneth L. Thornhill, Luke D. Ziemba, and Snorre Stamnes ACTIVATE Science Team Meeting, 11/15/23



- Slide 3 Science Question and Methods Summary
- Slide 4 Retrieval of Imaginary Refractive Index

Dverview

- Slide 5 Retrieval of Hygroscopicity
- Slides 6-7 Internal Validation and Consistency Check
- Slides 8-10 Determine Expected Error of Retrieved parameters
- Slide 11 Cloud Filtering Method Summary
- Slide 12 Collocation Method Summary
- Slides 13-16 Comparing In-situ and Remote Sensor Data





<u>Science</u> question

How do we compare ambient aerosol

measurements (King Air) with insitu measurements (Falcon)?

<u>Method</u>

Step 1: Retrieve dry imaginary refractive index (IRI)

- Spectral dry scattering and absorption coefficients (C)
- Dry aerosol size resolved number concentration (n^o) of particles with diameters from 3 to 3162 nm

Step 2: Retrieve hygroscopicity (κ)

- Ambient scattering coefficients
- Ambient relative humidity (RH)
- Step 3: Cloud filtering and calculation of total ambient aerosol properties
- Ambient aerosol n^o of particles with diameters from 2 to 50 μm

Step 4: Collocation of remote sensors and in-situ data



Step 1: Retrieve dry imaginary refractive index (IRI)

ACTIVATE







Algorithm



 $\overline{\kappa} = \kappa$, for smallest κ where $\zeta_{sca} < 1\%$

ACTIVATE



- Ambient SSA at 532 nm agrees to within 3%
- f(RH) agrees to within 4%

Internal Closure

Normalized-range mean absolute deviation (NMAD)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{|Y_j - X_j|}{n} \cdot \frac{100\%}{\max(X) - \min(X)}$$

where Y_j is set of calculated data, X_j is set of measurement data, and n is the total number of points.

	Extinction	SSA	f(RH)
NMAD (%)	0.1	2.4	1.8



6





 Most data have low-absorption and generally low hygroscopicity



7



- Synthetic Closure
 - Synthetic data are generated by randomly selecting values for IRI, κ, and the size distribution.
 - \odot RRI is fixed to 1.55.
 - \odot IRI is randomly selected from 0.0001 to 0.040
 - $\odot\,\kappa$ is randomly selected from 0.01 to 1.40
 - \odot Size distribution is randomly selected from a normal distribution around the mean of the ACTIVATE size distributions.
 - Accuracy (systematic uncertainty) and precision (random uncertainty) are 1 standard deviation assuming a normal distribution.
 - After synthetic data are generated, the precision offset is applied followed by the accuracy offset.
 - Offsets are applied uniformly to each instrument's measurements.



• After synthetic data are generated, the precision offset is applied followed by the accuracy offset.

Offsets are applied uniformly to each instrument's measurements.

Instrument	Measurement	Resolution (s)	Systematic	Random
Tricolor Particle Soot Absorption Photometer (PSAP)	Dry Absorption Coefficients at 470, 532, and 660 nm	1	15%	1 Mm ⁻¹
Nephelometers	Dry Scattering Coefficients at 450, 550, and 700 nm Ambient Scattering Coefficient at 550 nm	1	20%	2 Mm ⁻¹
Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer (SMPS)	Size resolved number concentration for particles with diameters between 3 and 94 nm	45	20%	-
Laser Aerosol Spectrometer (LAS)	Size resolved number concentration for particles with diameters between 94 and 3162 nm	1	20%	-

ΔΟΤΙΥΔΤΕ



- From Monte Carlo simulations, retrieved IRI and κ have expected NMAD of 9.6% and 8%, respectively.
- Out of 10,000 simulations, 37% had successful IRI retrievals and 34% had successful retrievals of both IRI and κ.

	IRI	К
NMAD (%)	9.6	8.0



ΔΟΤΙΥΔΤΕ



- Total ambient aerosol calculations require probe measurements of coarse aerosol.
 - Cloud Droplet Probe (CDP)
- All ambiguous and cloud data filtered out using the liquid water content (LWC) and CDP droplet number concentration (N_{CDP}) upper limits of 0.001 g m⁻³ and 5 cm⁻³, respectively (Schlosser et al., 2022).
- Additionally, the counterflow virtual impactor (CVI) inlet flag was used to ensure no cloud contamination.



Schlosser, J. S., and Coauthors, 2022: Polarimeter + lidar–derived aerosol particle number concentration. Frontiers in Remote Sensing, 3, https://doi.org/10.3389/frsen.2022.885332.

ACTIVATE

- Collocation
- RSP/HSRL-2 data are collocated using the collocation method developed and demonstrated in Schlosser et al., 2023.
- 73.0% of the valid time ulletsegments are within 5 minutes and 6 km.
- Maximum 6 min-15 km ulletspatiotemporal threshold applied for the King Air and the Falcon separation.

Schlosser, J. S., and Coauthors, (TBD): Maximizing the volume of collocated data from two coordinated suborbital platforms, submitted to Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology







-1000 z

Ambient

-800

600 400

-200

segment 3



ACTIVATE

- RSP-derived vs. in-situ-derived finemode effective radius (r_{eff,f})
- NMAD: 16%
- Count: 133
- RSP Resolution: Number of RSP scans × scan duration = 5 scans × $\left(\frac{60 \text{ seconds}}{72 \text{ scans}}\right) \cong 4.167 \text{ seconds}$
- Remove data where normalized cost function > 0.15 and where ambient particle diameter > 1.5 um
- Collocated to nearest level leg and vertical profile







- HSRL-2-derived vs. in-situ • derived extinction coefficient at 532 nm (ε_{532nm})
- NMAD: 4.5% ullet
- Count: 14,153
- R: 0.59 ullet
- p-value: <10⁻⁴ ullet
- Resolution: 10 seconds x 175 m





ACTIVATE

- RSP-derived vs. in-situ-derived finemode effective single scattering albedo (SSA_t) at 555 nm
- NMAD: 24%
- Count: 133







- HSRL-2- & RSP-derived vs. in-situ derived aerosol number concentration (N_a) of particles with ambient diameters greater than 90 nm
- NMAD: 8.5%
- Count: 1151
- R: 0.12
- p-value: <10⁻⁴
- Filtered according to Schlosser et al., 2022



Questions?